

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,423	01/27/2000	Ilya Umansky	2705-90	9857
7590 10/31/2003 Marger Johnson & McCollom P.C.			EXAMINER	
			HSU, A	HSU, ALPUS
1030 SW Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2665	7.
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	n No. Applicant(s)				
	09/492,423	UMANSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alpus H. Hsu	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	<u> August 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/492,423

Art Unit: 2665

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by HO in U.S. Patent No. 6,452,922 (of record).

By broadly interpreting the switch within the IP interface (304) as the claimed cross connect device, HO also discloses a method, apparatus and storage medium having computer program codes for cross connecting the incoming call to both the fallback call over a circuit switched network (106) and VoIP call over a VoIP network (108) based on continuously monitored quality of service of the VoIP network (see col. 2, line 23 to col. 3, line 45) as in claims 1-32.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Belzile is additionally cited to show the common feature of alternate call routing schemes using gateway/bridge between data and telephone networks similar to the claimed invention.

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 15, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remark, the applicant argued that Ho reference does not redirect an already established VoIP call over a PSTN connection while the VoIP call is in mid-call as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,423

Art Unit: 2665

The examiner disagrees for the following reasoning: In Ho, it does disclose the feature of redirect an already established VoIP call over a PSTN connection if the QoS of the call is below the desired threshold using a loop back path for providing a mid-call fall back scheme same as the claimed invention (see col. 2, lines 41-46, col. 3, lines 3-45). In view of the above reasoning, the examiner believes that the rejection of claims 1-32 under 102 (e) should be sustained.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (703)305-4377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (703)308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2665

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665